

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/620,103	07/15/2003	Samir Saad	VIL01 P-101	8603
28101	7590 07/07/2006		EXAM	INER
VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN AND BURKHART, LLP			PAIK, STEVE S	
	LEVOIX DRIVE, S.E.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 88				
GRAND RA	PIDS. MI 49588-8695		2876	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1 /1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	b'b
	10/620,103	SAAD, SAMIR	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Steven S. Paik	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addres	SS
• •	VIC CET TO EVOIDE 2M	PONTURES OR TURETY (20) P) A V C
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI(136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become Af	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this commu	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17.4	April 2006.		
	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,4,6,7,9-11,13,14,16,17 and 19-35</u>	s/are pending in the applic	ation.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17 ar</u>	nd 19-35 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 15 July 2003 is/are: a	⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documen			
2. Certified copies of the priority documen			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	-	received in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ''	received	
occ the attached detailed office action for a list	of the defined copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	<u>')</u>

Application/Control Number: 10/620,103

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the Amendment filed April 17, 2006. The applicant cancelled claims 2, 5, 8, 12, 36, and 37 and amended claims 1, 4, 9, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, and 25.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 19-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fertig (US 6,050,493) in view of Fite et al. (US 6,467,684 B2).

Re claims 1, 6, 14 and 16, Fertig discloses a prepaid-flower or gift card and a method of using the card. The method comprises encoding a product card (Card 11) with a product identification code (identifier 19) and a card identification code (PIN 39 tat is unique to the card 11), distributing the product card (11; Fig. 1 and 2; col. 2, ll. 33-56) to a retailer (col. 2, ll. 17-26), after receipt by the retailer, communicating the product identification code and the card identification code of the received product card to the computer system wherein said communicating validates the product card (col. 2, line 52-col. 3, line 15); arranging the received product card (11) on a display (showroom; col. 2, ll. 21-27) for retrieval by a purchaser,

collecting revenue from a purchaser of the card (col. 2, ll. 57-62) activating the card when the card is purchased (col. 2, line 23) so that the card can be redeemed, receiving the product and card information and delivery information from the redeemer of the card (col. 3, ll. 3-10), and delivering a product associated with the product information to a location associated with the delivery information (name and address information pertaining to where the gift item is to be sent).

However, Fertig does not specifically disclose a computer system storing the product card information and receiving input information from a gift cardholder.

Fite et al. disclose a pre-paid card system for purchasing products or services over an electronic or computer network, such as the Internet. A host computer includes a database for storing the pre-paid card information (col. 1, Il. 27-67) and a means for reading the identity number of a card form the memory on the card. The system further includes a card vendor terminal to manage a card activation process via a card reader. The host computer performs functions such as reference/transaction number management, cash card identification number inventory and control. These functions provide a user more control and selections regarding a pre-paid card usage. A merchant may also benefit from above automated system by saving time and cost in managing the pre-paid card transactions while increasing a chance to increase revenue.

In view of Fite et al., it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further employ a host computer system in addition to the pre-paid flower or gift card system of Fertig due to the fact that more automated pre-paid card

Art Unit: 2876

transactions can be processed and accurately managed for the purposes of increasing processing time and enhancing a positive customer experience using the pre-paid card service.

Re claim 3, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above, wherein said activating includes storing an active status code in said computer system with the card identification code (col. 1, ll. 26-67 of Fite et al.).

Re claim 4, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 2 stated above, further comprising determining the activation status code of the card based on the product and card identification code (col. 1, ll. 27-67); and

if the card is activated then inputting the delivery information into the computer system (col. 4, line 62-col. 5, line 8).

Re claim 7, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 6 stated above, wherein said providing the product card with a description of the product includes providing the card with an illustration of the product (Fig. 1).

Re claims 9-11, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claims 1 and 8 stated above, wherein said encoding includes encoding the card with a retail store code (41) associated with a retail location (store inventory and/or tracking vendor sales) where the product card is distributed (Fig. 2 and col. 2, Il. 28-33), wherein said providing a product card includes providing a product card encoded with a dollar amount (21) associated with the product card (col. 2, Il. 28-67).

Re claim 13, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 1 stated above, further comprising displaying said product card at a display location (open showroom) in the retail location.

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 17, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 14 stated above, wherein said inputting includes determining whether the card identification is stored in said computer system to determine whether the card is valid (col. 1, ll. 27-67 of Fite).

Re claim 19, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 14 stated above, wherein said displaying includes displaying said product card at a display location (open showroom) in the retail establishment and locating the display location remote from a cashier of the retail establishment (stock area for customer self-selection or vendor terminals of Fite).

Re claim 20, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 14 stated above, wherein said providing a product card includes providing a product card with ordering information (Fig. 2; col. 2, line 7- col. 3, line 42).

Re claim 21, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 20 stated above, wherein said providing a product card with ordering information includes providing a product card with an Internet address to a website where the card can be redeemed online (col. 1, ll. 5-67 of Fite).

Re claims 22 and 23, it is well known that a retailer establishment pays or collects a certain amount of fees or service charges in exchange of products, spaces, or delivery services it offers to others. Therefore, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate imposing a nominal amount of fees or services charges based on total revenue.

Re claim 24, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 14 stated above, further comprising providing a contact (Fig. 2) for the purchaser, the contact

Art Unit: 2876

receiving the product identification code, said card identification code, and the delivery information and inputting the delivery information into the computer system (col. 3, ll. 3-20).

Re claims 25-28, Fertig discloses a prepaid-flower or gift card system. The system comprises a product card (11) said product card associated with a product (Fig. 1 and 2; col. 2, ll. 33-40), a card processor (point-of-sale system), said card being read by said card processor when the card is purchased, said card processor in communication with a computer system (point-of-sale system). The system further comprises a display (showroom) displaying the cards located in a retail establishment (col. 2, ll. 25-26), wherein a customer can browse the cards and retrieve a card from the display, and thereafter purchase the selected card from a cashier (col. 2, ll. 57-62).

However, Fertig does not specifically disclose a computer system storing the product card information and receiving input information from a gift cardholder.

Fite et al. disclose a pre-paid card system for purchasing products or services over an electronic or computer network, such as the Internet (the connection is made via a modem; col. 3, ll. 11-19). A host computer includes a database for storing the pre-paid card information (col. 1, ll. 27-67) and a means for reading the identity number of a card form the memory on the card. The system further includes a card vendor terminal to manage a card activation process via a card reader. The host computer performs functions such as reference/transaction number management, cash card identification number inventory and control. These functions provide a user more control and selections regarding a pre-paid card usage. A merchant may also benefit from above automated system by saving time and cost in managing the pre-paid card transactions while increasing a chance to increase revenue.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,103

Art Unit: 2876

In view of Fite et al., it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further employ a host computer system in addition to the pre-paid flower or gift card system of Fertig due to the fact that more automated pre-paid card transactions can be processed and accurately managed for the purposes of increasing processing time and enhancing a positive customer experience using the pre-paid card service.

Re claim 29, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 25 stated above, wherein said order fulfillment center (32) delivers an order to a mailing service for delivery of said order to the recipient.

Re claim 30, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 25 stated above, wherein the card processor comprises a card reader (col. 3, ll. 10-15 of Fite).

Re claim 31, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 25 stated above, further comprising a website, said computer system downloading at least some of said data information to said website (col. 3, line 39-col. 4, line 67).

Re claim 32, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 31 stated above, wherein said order fulfillment center (32) is in communication with said website (Fig. 6).

Re claim 33, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 31 stated above, wherein said website is accessible by the redeemer (col. 4, ll. 38-48).

Re claim 34, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 31 stated above, wherein said website includes said card identification and product identification information and said delivery information (col. 4, ll. 18-35 and Fig. 6).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,103 Page 8

Art Unit: 2876

Re claim 35, Fertig in view of Fite et al. discloses the method as recited in rejected claim 34 stated above, wherein said website further includes tracking information (col. 3, line 39-col. 4, line 67).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicant argues that the cited prior arts fail to teach a process involving two separate steps for activating and validating a pre-paid product card.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Fertig (US 6,050,493) discloses, teaches, or fairly suggests a pre-paid card/gift card including two separate activation and validation processes. When a pre-paid card is purchased, the card gets automatically activated through a card-reading device. The same card may be validated at a later time when the purchaser attempts to place the order by providing a unique PIN to an operator. Therefore, it is believed that the reference discloses two separate steps for activating and validating the card. Furthermore, another cited prior art, Fite et al. (US 6,467,684), disclose two separate steps of activation and validation in Fig. 4.

The applicant also argues that the cited prior arts lack a displaying step.

Fertig explains a pre-paid flower card/gift card (card 11) may be displayed in an open showroom or stock area for customer self-selection. Therefore, the argument is not persuasive.

In view of above discussion, claims 1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 16, 17 and 19-35 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/620,103 Page 9

Art Unit: 2876

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven S. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-2404. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 5:30a-2:00p (Maxi-Flex*).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on 571-272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,103 Page 10

Art Unit: 2876

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven S. Paik Primary Examiner Art Unit 2876

ssp